Epidemics in Guinea: From Infectious Politics to Viral Ontologies

Fanny Attas

PhD candidate in anthropology, Guinea / ENS Lyon (Triangle), IRD (TransVIHMI) Centre for Research and Training in Infectiology of Guinea (CERFIG).

fanny.attas@ens-lyon.fr

Abstract

The present article examines the application of epidemic response models on Guinean territory during the Covid-19 pandemic and questions the observable divergence between said models and implemented caregiving and epidemic management practices. Firstly, the article examines how barrier measures (masks, distancing) collide and challenge healthcare workers' "practical norms" in healthcare centres. Through this, the study aims to break with prevailing notions of Guinean – and more widely African – health care systems as dysfunctional, as well as with the interpretation that healthcare workers' and the general public's non-compliance with protective measures represents resistance or reluctance. Secondly, this paper explores how the ontological approach enables the examination of processes including translation, adaptation and appropriation during the implementation of epidemic management models by healthcare workers and the local public. Thirdly, the paper analyses how, through the mobilization of various tactics and ontological repertoires, patients manage epidemic risk in treatment centres (CT-Epi) and navigate the invisible world of viruses. Through the analysis of the Covid-19 response in Guinea, and drawing on an innovative ontological approach in Africanist anthropology, this paper aims to reconsider former theories and concepts relating to the supposed failures of African systems and to propose new axes of analysis for African contexts.

Keywords

Guinea, Covid-19, Anthropology, Ontology, Virus

2022 by author(s). This work is openly licensed via CC BY-NC 4.0 🌀 🕦 🔇

Introduction

uinea's long history of epidemics (Le Marcis, 2021), in association with recent outbreaks and resurgences¹ and a fragile healthcare system, has contributed to making the country a priority for global health organizations and epidemic surveillance policies. The 2013–2016 and 2021 Ebola outbreaks, as well as the Covid-19 pandemic that started in 2020, allowed for the implementation of formalized epidemic response models (Gomez-Temesio & Le Marcis, 2017) and epidemic surveillance networks by international² and national³ organizations. In fact, national healthcare organizations significantly contributed in the expansion of globally accepted healthcare practices and discourses throughout Guinea. However, while response models may appear as neutral and apolitical (Bardosh, 2016), they do contain specific conceptions of disease, the body, care and viruses from modern biomedicine (Bonnet, 2003) that differ from local conceptions. When formally applied during epidemic resurgences or surveillance phases, these models penetrate Guinean local society and import discourses that are ontologically situated despite their apparent universality. They then enter into negotiation with local actors and their own ontological repertoires (Fairhead, 2016).

Coming from philosophy before being reinterpreted and used in anthropology at the end of the 20th century (Nef & Schmitt, 2017), ontology⁴ relates to "being" and the existing. Descola (2005, p. 176) therefore defines ontology as "systems of the properties of existing beings; and these serve as a point of reference for contrasting forms of cosmologies, models of social links, and theories of identity and alterity". In anthropology, numerous authors draw on the ontological approach to account for the multiplicity of worlds and beings. If some postulate that the world is intrinsically multiple (Descola, 2005), others propose to grasp the radical alterity of these worlds (Chandler & Reid, 2018) via a fluid and contextual conceptualization (Fairhead, 2016) of ontologies as performances (Mol, 2002). The ontological approach constitutes a methodological tool to ask ontological questions (Holbraad & Pedersen, 2017).

This article analyses the application of epidemic response models on the Guinean territory during the Covid-19 epidemic and questions the often-visible divergence between these models and actual epidemic management practices observed in the field. The study aims to break with prevailing notions of Guinean – and more widely African – health care systems as dysfunctional (Jaffré & Olivier de Sardan, 2003), as well as with the interpretation that healthcare workers' and the general public's noncompliance with protective measures represents resistance or reluctance (Somparé, 2020).

The article thus explores how Covid-19 management measures, supposed to be enforced by frontline healthcare workers, conflict with their actual practical norms (Olivier de Sardan, 2010). It offers to analyse these frequent discrepancies not in terms of failures, but as processes of translation, appropriation, transformation and rejection at work when different worlds, embedded within local and biomedical discourses, encounter in the field. Second, this paper highlights the relevance of an ontological approach applied to African contexts – yet little developed on the continent – and demonstrates how this renewed theoretical approach enables to examine such contexts from a fresh perspective. Doing so, it aims to question discourses produced on Africa that continue to inscribe the continent within power relations and hierarchies with another epistemic referential – formerly European, now Western. Third, this article re-examines the Covid-19 crisis in Guinea in the light of the ontological approach. Thus, it analyses how disease and viral risk management translates, within the CT-Epi in Guinea, into a constant negotiation between humans and viruses, via avoidance and cleansing tactics that give substance to the invisible virality. This negotiation transforms viruses into acting non-humans and results in patients mobilizing various and often contradictory ontological repertoires to cure themselves and to make sense of illness.

¹ Among others, Ebola, Covid-19, and the Marburg and Lassa fevers.

² Médecins sans frontières (MSF), Alliance for International Medical Action (ALIMA), Fonds des Nations unies pour l'enfance (UNICEF), etc.

Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire, Institut national de santé publique, ministère de la Santé.

⁴ From ancient Greek ontos, "being", and logos, "discourse, treatise".

This article draws on an ethnographic study led in Guinea from December 2020 to December 2021 within the ARIACOV research project ("Supporting African response to Covid-19 epidemics⁵"). Using participant observation and formal and informal interviews, I led fieldwork in two health facilities: an improved health centre (or CSA) integrating a basic surgery unit in the region of Forécariah, and the Gbessia Epidemic Treatment Centre (or CT-Epi) which treated Covid-19 patients in Conakry. My research at the CSA focused on the relations between healthcare workers, viruses and protective measures during the pandemics, on a cumulative period of two months (December 2020, July 2021, December 2021). At Gbessia CT-Epi, where I entered as a Covid-19 patient during the month of February 2021, I investigated the daily practices of viral risk management deployed by Covid-19 patients..

Rethinking dysfunctions, failures and reluctances

Dysfunctional healthcare systems, practical norms and non-compliance with protective measures

"Here, we don't like masks. It is better to not wear it. There were demonstrations against it and, since then, it is not well seen to have one".

Ms. Camara⁶, the midwife, gave me a heavy look while I put the surgical mask in my bag. It was December 2020, the 9th, in the midst of a Covid-19 wave, and though protective measures were supposed to be enforced throughout the country – as per a presidential decree – nobody was wearing a mask or respecting social distancing at the CSA. For several days, I had been leading fieldwork in the maternity ward alongside midwives, doctors, technical health assistants (ATS) and hospital cleaners. At the height of the epidemic, we shared the same food, eating with our right hand in the same plate, and we all slept in the same staff room. I got lucky as I shared a mattress on the floor with the head midwife, while trainees shared a second bed, a mat, or slept on benches or exam tables. No one followed social distancing rules, nor wore a mask. If we had, it would not have been possible to eat or sleep at the CSA considering the CSA's layout and the healthcare workers' management practices. Lack of space did not allow for physical distancing for either healthcare workers or patients. Moreover, in a healthcare system in which a majority of healthcare workers are considered as "trainees" and therefore not paid, it was the full-time staff that provided meals for the night shift team in a shared plate. Commensality and promiscuity are thus constant features of healthcare centres in Guinea, as is the lack of medical material. The gynaecologist entered the labour room and scolded a midwife:

"You, there, you do not have the equipment! You don't even have a mask, whereas we are in an epidemic! You don't even wear the whole gown: you need the trousers too, a wrapper like that, it is not possible!"

He turns to me and adds:

"Here, no one respects protective measures. Not even the doctors. People say that Corona is for the White, for the Rich. They trust that, for them, in their fields, nothing can happen! So they don't wear a mask".

Thus, standards set by national and international health organizations strongly differ from actual practices observed at the CSA. This gap applies to healthcare procedures as much as IPC (Infection Prevention and Control) and hygiene standards or epidemic response measures. If these specific flaws can be interpreted as poor practices from the part of healthcare workers, they actually manifest a greater precarity of the Guinean healthcare system, which is is defined by shortage in medical resources and clientelist relationships between healthcare workers and patients. A large majority of healthcare professionals are not officially employed by the State and work as unpaid trainees, up to ten or fifteen

⁵ Funded by the French Agency for Development (AFD) in the 'Covid-19 – Health in common' initiative and carried by the French Institute for Sustainable Development (IRD), the ARIACOV research project is led in Guinea by the Guinean Research and Formation Centre in Infectiology (CERFIG). Its social science component, named "Ebola's shadow on the SARS-COV-2 epidemic: Analysis of public policies, actors' practices and local representations of Covid-19 for a better response to the pandemic in Guinea" is directed by Prof. Frédéric Le Marcis.

⁶ Informants' names have been modified in the article to maintain their anonymity.

years for some, a status that does not reflect their actual work within the hospital. In this context, most healthcare workers charge patients for state-funded care (e.g. caesareans, vaccinations) and illicitly sell medications, competing with the official hospital pharmacy. While these practices – common to other healthcare structures in West Africa (Jaffré, 2003; Tantchou, 2021) – may seem as corruption (Olivier de Sardan, 2010), the caregivers' objective is less to extort money from the patients than to guarantee they manage to do their work and provide care in a context defined by a lack of material and financial resources and by the non-recognition of their status by the State. These "practical norms", differing from official standards issued by institutions, are analysed by Olivier de Sardan (2010) as the product of a local professional culture (2001) and as the result of translations and appropriations of official norms by local actors – these official norms encountering and sometimes clashing with local contexts and realities (2010). The discrepancies between local contexts and institutional norms frequently lead to a "revenge of contexts" (Olivier de Sardan, 2021), occasionally resulting in official standards being impossible to implement – as it was the case with Covid-19 epidemic response measures at the CSA.

At the crossroads of multiple worlds: from "failed" Africa to ontological innovations

In Africa, the divergence between practical norms in the field and official standards is often perceived in terms of failures, malfunctions, or as evidence of a "failed" state (Gaulme, 2011) - drawing on an evolutionist perspective that is not social but political. In Guinea, healthcare system deficiencies are largely accepted as indisputable facts (Somparé, 2017). However, it is necessary to question this assumption, and more broadly, the assumption that African States and Africa in general are failed, which generates and perpetuates unequal power relations between different actors and their respective worlds. It is crucial to go beyond the demonstration of practical norms' non-compliance with official standards and of the failure of the Guinean healthcare system in comparison with a global model designed by institutions. To do so, I propose to analyse the actual contexts in which these norms are practiced and relevant in order to account for local contexts and reclaim their epistemic legitimacy. Thus, far from resulting from systemic failures – a conceptual framework that reinforces the notion of a unique referential and, consequently, of African contexts that do not "work properly" – these divergences can be analysed as products of encounters between global institutional standards and local ways of being in the world. They emerge from processes of appropriation, transformation, and rejection at work when different worlds encounter to define a common reality. Therefore, practical norms constitute practices and discourses that allow encounters of these worlds to work "in practice" and generate a new hybrid world – an "ontological innovation" (Thompson, 2005) – in which actors navigate and which "works" despite its internal contradictions. In Guinea, the practical norms of healthcare workers do not result from a frail healthcare system, but from the internal working of a healthcare system in constant negotiation between global models and local contexts. These contexts are defined by lack of resources and a crisis of confidence between the population and State actors in general (Attas et al., 2021).

Thus, in order to move away from a biased and negative view of a failed Africa (politically, economically, and in its healthcare systems), I rely on an ontological approach to explore from a fresh perspective practical modalities of epidemic risk management by local populations and healthcare professionals. Constituting a paradigm shift from previous theoretical approaches, the ontological approach aims to elevate cultures and their specific beings (humans and non-humans) in valid and coexistent worlds. Far from considering cultures as specific interpretations of a unique reality (Henare et al., 2006), the ontological approach draws on the idea that alternative realities coexist as worlds in themselves. However, this approach and the paradigm change it represents – by challenging and questioning knowledge systems hierarchy and the domination of a modern Western reality that has become global – have been rarely utilised to analyse the African continent. Indeed, the ontological turn is the specific product of an anthropology that has largely developed in Latin America due to its particular political and epistemic history, which differs to that of Africa. Both continents have given rise to different anthropological traditions and currents – with their own specific themes – reflecting their political, cultural, and economic history as much as the ways in which they have been conceptualized by colonial powers and Western thinkers.

The relevance of an ontological approach in Africa

The ontological turn: Between colonial epistemologies and anthropological traditions

Anthropology developed in the 19th century as a science and a discipline in a colonial context defined by the discovery of "primitive people" (Deliège, 2006) and alterity. One of its founding theories was social evolutionism (Tylor, 1871), which ranked different human societies on a hierarchical scale of evolution. In this context, first works on Africa presented primitive and timeless societies frozen in an immutable past (Grinker et al., 2019) and focused on specific themes reflecting this view: witchcraft, kinship, religion, disease and care, economy and art. At the same period, Americanist anthropology focused on indigenous peoples, antiquities (via archaeology and history in particular) founding myths, and warfare in societies associated with ancient civilizations having written and printed sources (Gruzinski & Bernand, 1992). While many countries in the Americas gained independence in the first half of the 19th century and became sovereign states, Africa remained part of the European colonies until the 1960s. In the early 20th century, European researchers such as Mauss and Durkheim (1903), Malinowski (1935), and Evans-Pritchard (1937) challenged the hierarchy of knowledge systems and societies induced by social evolutionism. Other authors, especially French surrealist anthropologists, ultimately discarded the socio-evolutionist approach. Ethnographers such as Rouch (1947), Griaule (1934) and Bataille (1929) deconstructed and questioned the concepts of normality, reality, and truth in the Western world. Rejecting the a-historical approach of Africa, European and African authors asserted the inclusion of the continent into global modernity (notably Balandier, 1951, 1952): Africanist anthropology turned to a historical (Person, 1971) and dynamist perspective to study social change (Grinker et al., 2019). Simultaneously, Marxism spread over the continent, finding a strong resonance among many authors – Senghor, Nkrumah, Cabral, Nyerere, Diop, Traoré, Nda, Rauche (Bidima, 1995). In the 1960s and 1970s, Marxist anthropology, led by Meillassoux in Africa (1975), rose and focused particularly on the study of modes of production, the economy, the treatment of women and slavery. At the same period, Americanist anthropology, in connection with the contemporary political and economic history of the Americas, examined political processes and State formation, military regimes and democratization processes, urbanization and peasant classes, as well as environmental changes (Gruzinski & Bernand 1992).

With the 1960s and the beginning of decolonization processes, numerous authors challenged and questioned anthropology as a colonial science, producing a critique of modernity and its system of knowledge (e.g., Latour & Woolgar, 1979). As a result, new research themes emerged in Africanist anthropology, including mobility and displacement, urbanism, political violence, armed conflict, and new forms of belonging (Grinker et al., 2019). For its part, Americanist anthropology renewed its interest in indigenous communities, their ways of living and ritual practices. By the 1980s, the politicization of indigenous communities from the Americas gained momentum at national and international levels (Langdon, 2016; Blaser, 2014). Their ways of life and knowledge systems aligned with global ecological themes (Jackson & Warren, 2005; Deléage, 1991) and consequently, they progressively gained legitimacy and validity as models and viable solutions for environmental crisis management (Redford, 1990; Chandler & Reid, 2018). With this change of perspective, innovative anthropological currents and approaches developed in these new contexts, such as perspectivism (Viveiros de Castro, 2007, 2009) and the following ontological turn. Indeed, many anthropologists – among others, Latour (1991), Ingold (1996, 2006), Viveiros de Castro (2007, 2009), Descola (2005) – developed an ontological approach in the 1990s–2000s, inspired by postmodernism in anthropology and by the pre-eminence of issues around nature and the environment in the late-20th-century-globalized world. Their approach questioned the modern naturalist world's distinction between nature and culture and theorized the possibility of alternative, valid, and co-existing realities within human societies.

Infectious ontologies and medical epistemologies in Africa

While African philosophers relied on ontology in their work, including Kwasi Wiredu and Henry Odera Oruka (Bidima, 1995), Africanist anthropologists rarely used the concept – with a few exceptions (such as Fairhead 2016; Wilkinson & Fairhead, 2017; Laplante, 2014; Archambault, 2020; Adji, 2009; Thomas, 1968; Mbiziantouari, 2021). Contrary to Latin America, anthropology in Africa turned to political science in the 1980s (Copans, 2007), focusing on the study of the organizational and operational modes of development (Olivier de Sardan 1995, 2001), healthcare (Jaffré & Olivier de Sardan, 2003) and civil society (Comaroff & Comaroff, 1999). Therefore, local contexts were not re-examined from an ontological perspective. The intent of an Africanist ontological approach is thus to reconsider well-known fieldwork from a new perspective and to deconstruct and challenge domination, power relations and hierarchies between different worlds and epistemologies – particularly between African contexts and the rest of the world. Therefore, the objective is not to import and impose the ontological approach as conceptualized by Americanist anthropologists, but to investigate African local realities from an ontological perspective. In a context of epidemic crisis and ontological frictions between the various actors from distinct worlds, it is thus necessary to question how structural contexts contribute to build local ontologies as much as they are shaped by them. To do so, I propose to revisit the history of medical epistemology in Africa in order to contextualize current practices of epidemic management in historical, geographical, political and ontological terms.

According to Bonnet (2003), conceptions of the body, health and ill(ness) - "illness" as well as "misfortune" in a broader sense – are the result of a long history in Africa, influenced by several philosophical and theoretical currents that have spread across the continent – such as Islam, Pasteurian theories, biomedicine, among others. Similarly, Western concepts of "health" and "disease" are the product of a long European – and later, Western – history. They have spread globally through colonization and globalization processes, being widely exported to other contexts. As Packard (2016) explains, global health interventions developed in the continuity of colonial medicine and outside of donor countries. It instituted and reinforced a hierarchy of health at global level, with Northern countries providing medical resources (material, funding and technologies) to the Global South. However, modern biomedical discourse is far from being a technological progress detached from any symbolic or ideological meaning (Bonnet, 2003). On the contrary, it is a specific theory of social relations and being - as are local discourses of care and illness (Le Marcis, 2003) - focusing on bacteria, microbes, viruses, parasites, etc. This ontological epistemology - in the sense that it defines existence, the world and beings that inhabit it – encounters other existing local worlds and results in a phenomenon in which actors negotiate daily to make sense of the world, illness, and the ways they can protect themselves from it.

Revisiting the Covid-19 pandemic from an ontological perspective

Negotiating with the invisible: Covid-19 in Guinea

Ms. Diallo left the mop against the wall and wiped her forehead before arranging her surgical mask on her mouth and nose. It was February 22, 2021, and I had been hospitalized for five days at Gbessia CT-Epi with a Covid-19 positive test but no symptoms. Outside the CT-Epi, the population was anxious as the government had announced the resurgence of the Ebola epidemic in the Forest region on February 14. Since I arrived, Ms. Diallo had refused to allow a hospital cleaner enter and clean the room: she moped and bleached the room herself daily.

"I don't want them to clean here: they go into rooms with very sick patients and then they come here [to clean] with the same water. They come and re-contaminate us and this is how people end up staying here so long", she explained.

Moreover, since my arrival, she had been sharing pieces of advice and tips with me so that I can test negative and be able to leave the CT-Epi as soon as possible. According to her, and to most patients on the asymptomatic wing, in order to get discharged, it was necessary to reduce one's viral load and to avoid "more" contamination. Thus, Ms. Diallo refused to hang her laundry in the CT-Epi courtyard. She sent it home to be washed and dried because "outside, laundry can get other germs and, after, you contaminate yourself with it". She washed her hands when she returned to our room and she prayed to God to heal us faster. Other patients rinsed their noses with herbal remedies or toothpaste before their Covid-19 testing, so "the virus does not stay in your nose". Ms. Diallo complained:

"We are almost cured and they add a new patient [in the room] who comes with the disease. Doesn't it contaminate us again or make us last longer with the virus?"

All patients at the CT-Epi wore a surgical mask 24/7 in order to not increase their viral load with newcomers, but also to avoid other viruses such as tuberculosis. Some took the prescribed treatment while others prefered to have "traditional" medication⁷ delivered to them.

At the CT-Epi, the interaction between patients and Covid-19 unfolds in a constant cohabitation with the virus, whose negative impacts must be managed. In this context, the "viral load" appears for the patients as a damaging residual imprint that increases after a contact with a dangerous entity – here, Covid-19 virus. Thus, the main objective of patients is to do everything in their power to reduce and eliminate this viral load in order to be discharged from the CT-Epi. To do so, they implement tactics of avoidance in relation to potential contaminant sources such as other patients, cleaning water, outside air, etc. These interactions are particularly challenging to manage as viral non-humans directly inhabit and cohabit with humans – here, the patients – and therefore these latter must be included within avoidance strategies. Managing viral risk thus involves a meticulous supervision of interactions between humans and non-humans – specifically viruses in the CT-Epi – and results through performativity in the crafting of strategies, practices, narratives, discourses, and behaviours that give life and substance to viral entities.

This is particularly visible in the linguistic designation of diseases and viruses, which are referred to as acting subjects: "Illness took me", "It is going to take you", "Corona came", "Corona did not work here so they called back his big brother Ebola" [interviews at Gbessia CT-Epi]. These acting subjects are not necessarily personified, but they acquire characteristics of acting non-humans with a potentially harmful agency and power for individuals. As other acting beings of this type – animals, genies, spirits, deities – some diseases are renamed with elliptical names or paraphrases in different local languages: diankaro noni or "dirty illness" for Covid-19 in Maninkakan, gnon gnonwo or "bad illness" for Ebola in Kpèlè. This conceptualization of viruses and germs as acting non-humans can also be ascribed to the (bio)medical world (Jaffré, 2003), which shaped hospital hygiene according to a pathocenosis model (Grmek, 1969) - a "community of diseases" or an ecosystem of diseases - that describes "hospital landscapes, so to speak, from the point of view of germs" (Jaffré, 2003, p. 343). Germs – and especially viruses - constitute a part of the Western invisible world, exported at global level both physically through contaminations and epidemics and ontologically through biomedical discourses and health policies. They are beings whose interactions must be managed with caution and prevention in order to be protected from the dangers they represent. Integrating viruses from the European then Western biomedical world into Guinean society thus contributes to create an ecosystem of ontological repertoires (Fairhead, 2016) that actors jointly mobilize in order to make sense of the world and to protect themselves from its dangers. Moreover, these viruses are incorporated in a local system of health and illness that is used to negotiate with the invisible – viruses and germs being now as harmful in Guinea as genies, witches, animals and other spirits.

⁷ Mainly herbal decoctions and remedies (for drinking or washing use) made by tradipracticiens or traditional doctors – referring to a medical epistemology previous to modern biomedicine.

Managing epidemic risk: medical syncretism, ontological repertoires and choreographies

Managing Covid-19 virus in the CT-Epi for patients involves taking medication, purifying oneself through cleansing (nose, throat) or ventilation, praying, eliminating the virus from the room and avoiding all potential contaminants. These practices constitute a protection

against ill in its broadest sense – including illness and misfortune – rather than a targeted prevention against a specific disease. Thus, the biomedical concept of "contamination" does not cover the diversity of local conceptions of illness in a country where this latter can be transmitted not only through contact with a sick individual but also through deadly or impure contact (Bonnet, 2003) – as it is the case in other African countries (Diallo, 2003; Caprara, 2000). If biomedicine is largely employed to cure diseases, the causes of diseases themselves are often attributed to other ontological repertoires (Wilkinson & Fairhead, 2017): social misconducts, disregard of a taboo, wrath of a deceased person or spirit, spell cast by a witch (Zempléni, 1985).

Protection against (ev)ill, broader than illness prevention, involves preventive and curative care coming from various repertoires: not only traditional medicine (self-medication, remedies, decoction of herbs) and biomedicine, but also practices in Islam, body and house cleanliness, respect of social rules, avoidance of morally impure behaviours, recourse to God. As Fairhead (2016, p. 12) explains, Guinean people draw extensively from the various ontological repertoires available to them in order to take care of themselves – even if these latter appear contradictory –, as long as they can combine them in practice: "... modes of practical coexistence have emerged in which any cultural (or ontological) incompatibilities are not relevant". Traditional, religious⁸ and modern bio- medicines are thus means of action and resources conjointly used by Guinean actors in order to navigate daily life in the context of illness. This simultaneous coexistence of different ontological repertoires renders visible a syncretism of world views and beings – according to the risk they represent, in relation with illness. However, epidemic crises and the response models they entail constitute moments of disruption as they reduce actors' possibilities to recourse to this ontological syncretism to heal themselves and make sense of illness (Fairhead, 2016). This can lead to resistance from local populations towards preventive measures and to a polarization of ontological discourses now defined in opposition – "biomedicine" versus ethno-medicine". This phenomenon contributes to antagonize social identities as much as knowledge" systems (Attas et al., 2021). Thus, during the 2014–2016 Ebola epidemic in Guinea, numerous rumours spread (Bannister-Tyrrell et al., 2015) and reluctance (Fribault, 2015; Somparé, 2020) and conflicts arose between the various proponents of these ontological repertoires. Processes of negotiation, translation and articulation of different ontological repertoires can be analysed in terms of ontological choreographies, that is, "a deftly balanced coming together of things that are generally considered parts of different ontological orders (part of nature, part of the self, part of society)" (Thompson, 2005, p. 8). Le Marcis (2022) applies the concept of ontological choreographies to global health, epidemic preparedness and surveillance platforms. He explains:

"The concept of choreography invites to examine how different ontological regimes conceptualize risk (Descola 2005), encounter, collaborate and/or generate friction within these platforms. [...] Analysing "ontological choreographies" resulting from these encounters allows to question the conditions under which collaborations are possible between different worlds in the field of global health⁹".

Thus, analysing African worlds in these terms enables us to examine, not only how local actors mobilize different ontological repertoires to navigate daily life, but also how these different repertoires generate friction and produce complex ontological choreographies enabling the practical functioning of the lived world. Ontological theoretical tools highlight how, in the field of health, these choreographies

⁸ Religious medicine refers here to religious authorities or Koran specialists such as imams or karamoko who use the Koran for healing people (for example through the use of surahs as protective talisman or through nasi, a consecrated water mixed with the ink used to write specific surahs and drunk as medication).

⁹ Personal translation from the original French source: "La notion de chorégraphie invite à saisir comment différents régimes ontologiques pensent le risque (Descola 2005), entrent en contact, collaborent et/ou entrent en friction au sein de ces plateformes. [...] Analyser les "chorégraphies ontologiques" qui résultent de ces rencontres permet d'interroger les conditions dans lesquelles les collaborations sont possibles entre différents mondes dans le domaine de la santé globale".

are rendered visible through the appropriations, transformations and reluctances that emerge from local actors' practices and discourses. This is especially true during epidemic times, and particularly Ebola and Covid-19 epidemic in Guinea, as they lead to the encounter of multiple ontological discourses resulting from the implementation into local contexts of formalized response models from national and global health organizations (Somparé, 2020; Mbaye et al., 2017).

Conclusion

This article analyses the application of epidemic response models in Guinea during the Covid-19 epidemic and questions the visible divergences between these models and the actual practices of care and epidemic management observed in the field. It draws on and supports the interest of an Africanist ontological approach, especially in relation with epidemics, illness and care. In the Guinean context, defined by multiple ontological discourses in constant friction, this approach highlights how practical norms and the mobilization of different ontological repertoires by actors within these choreographies enable the practical functioning of the lived world. It allows to move away from the assumption of dysfunctional and failing African systems to provide a genuine perspective on the worlds in which these norms are relevant. Finally, it also emphasizes how viruses, as other acting non-humans, are ontologically crafted and how, through their physical and discursive circulation, they are transformed, translated, and appropriated by local contexts.

While much rhetoric predicted the sanitary collapse of Africa with the Covid-19 epidemic (Bonnet et al., 2021), the announced disaster did not occur. On the contrary, the pandemic contributed to challenge the assumption of failing healthcare systems in Africa in comparison to the difficulties encountered by developed countries on this subject. It allowed the continent to assert its expertise and knowledge in terms of epidemic management and therapeutic systems.

Acknowledgements

I sincerely thank Frédéric Le Marcis, head of the social science component of the ARIACOV research program in Guinea, who made this research possible, as well as the CERFIG administrative and research team. I also thank the anonymous reviewers and the editorial board for their comments, as well as Benjamin Frerot for his review of the first draft of this paper and Maria-José Flor Agreda for her review of the English version. Academic research relies on a collective of peers and researchers, and this article could not have reached its final form without their inputs and reflections.

Bibliography

- Adji, A. (2009). Traditions et philosophie: Essai d'une anthropologie philosophique africaine. l'Harmattan.
- Archambault, J. S. (2020). Rêves de béton et ontologies relationnelles dans une banlieue mozambicaine (note de recherche). *Anthropologie et Sociétés, 44*(1), 203-214. https://doi.org/10.7202/1072775ar
- Attas, F., Curtis, M.-Y., & Koniono, G. L. (2022). Ethnographies sous traitement: Enquêter en tant que patients pendant l'épidémie de covid-19 en Guinée. *Anthropologie & Santé. Revue internationale francophone d'anthropologie de la santé*, (27). https://doi.org/10.4000/anthropologiesante.13028
- Attas, F., Keïta-Diop, M., Curtis, M.-Y., & Le Marcis, F. (2021). Discours radiophoniques, cartographies épidémiques et représentations locales de la COVID-19 en Guinée. *L'Espace Politique. Revue en ligne de géographie politique et de géopolitique*, 44(2). https://doi.org/10.4000/espacepolitique.10007
- Balandier, G. (1951). La situation coloniale : approche théorique. *Cahiers internationaux de sociologie*, (12), pp. 44-79. https://doi.org/10.3917/cis.110.0009
- Balandier, G. (1952). Contribution à une sociologie de la dépendance. *Cahiers internationaux de sociologie*, (12), pp. 47-69.
- Bannister-Tyrrell, M., Gryseels, C., Delamou, A., D'Alessandro, U., van Griensven, J., Grietens, K. P., & Ebola_Tx Trial Platform. (2015). Blood as medicine: Social meanings of blood and the success of Ebola trials. *Lancet (London, England)*, 385(9966), 420. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)62392-8

- Bataille, G. (1929). Dictionnaire critique [Rubrique], Documents.
- Bardosh, K. (2016). One health: Science, politics and zoonotic disease in Africa. Routledge.
- Bidima, J.-G. (1995). La philosophie négro-africaine. PUF.
- Blaser, M. (2014). Ontology and indigeneity: on the political ontology of heterogeneous assemblages. *Cultural geographies*, *21*(1), 49-58.
- Bonnet, D. (2003). Transmissions, préventions et hygiènes en Afrique de l'Ouest, une question anthropologique. Dans D., Bonnet, , & Y., Jaffré (dirs) (2003). *Les maladies de passage : Transmissions, préventions et hygiènes en Afrique de l'Ouest*. Karthala, pp. 5-26.
- Bonnet, E., Bodson, O., Le Marcis, F., Faye, A., Sambieni, N. E., Fournet, F., Boyer, F., Coulibaly, A., Kadio, K., Diongue, F. B., & Ridde, V. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic in francophone West Africa: From the first cases to responses in seven countries. *BMC Public Health*, *21*(1), 1490. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11529-7
- Caprara, A. (2000). Transmettre la maladie. Représentations de la contagion chez les Alladian de la Côte-d'Ivoire. Karthala, Coll. Médecines du Monde, 213 p., réf. *Anthropologie et Sociétés*, *25*(2), 184-186. https://doi.org/10.7202/000250ar.
- Chandler, D., & Reid, J. (2018). 'Being in Being': Contesting the Ontopolitics of Indigeneity. *The European Legacy*, 23(3), 251-268. https://doi.org/10.1080/10848770.2017.1420284.
- Comaroff, J. L., & Comaroff, J. (Éds.). (2000). *Civil Society and the Political Imagination in Africa: Critical Perspectives*. University of Chicago Press. https://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/C/bo3624192.html
- Copans, J. (2007). Les frontières africaines de l'anthropologie. Un demi-siècle d'interpellations. *Journal des anthropologues*, 110-111(3-4), 337-370. https://doi.org/10.4000/jda.2499.
- Deléage, J.-P. (1991). *Une histoire de l'écologie*. La Découverte.
- Deliège, R. (2006). Une histoire de l'anthropologie. Écoles, auteurs, théories. *Recherches sociologiques et anthropologiques*, 38(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.4000/rsa.537.
- Descola, P. (2005). Par-delà nature et culture. Gallimard.
- Diallo, Y. (2003). Conceptions populaires soso de la transmission des maladies et pratiques de prévention en Guinée maritime. Dans D., Bonnet, & Y., Jaffré (dirs) (2003). Les maladies de passage: Transmissions, préventions et hygiènes en Afrique de l'Ouest. Karthala, pp. 101-129.
- Durkheim, É., & Mauss, M. (1903). De quelques formes primitives de classification: contribution à l'étude des représentations collectives. *L'Année sociologique (1896/1897-1924/1925), 6*, pp. 1-72.
- Evans-Pritchard, E. E. (1937). Witchcraft, oracles and magic among the Azande. Oxford University Press. https://ehrafworldcultures.yale.edu/cultures/fo07/documents/071
- Fairhead, J. (2016). Understanding social resistance to the Ebola response in the forest region of the Republic of Guinea: an anthropological perspective. *African Studies Review*, *59*(3), 7-31.
- Fribault, M. (2015). Ebola en Guinée : violences historiques et régimes de doute. *Anthropologie & Santé. Revue internationale francophone d'anthropologie de la santé*, (11).
- Gomez-Temesio, V., & Le Marcis, F. (2017). La mise en camp de la Guinée : Ebola et l'expérience postcoloniale. *l'Homme*, pp. 57-90.
- Griaule, M. (1934). *Conversations with Ogotemmêli: An introduction to Dogon religious ideas*. International African
- Grinker, R. R., Lubkemann, S. C., Steiner, C. B., & Gonçalves, E. (2019). *A Companion to the Anthropology of Africa*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Gruzinski, S., & Bernand, C. (1992). La Redécouverte de l'Amérique. Homme, 32(122), 7-38.
- Jackson, J. E., & Warren, K. B. (2005). Indigenous movements in Latin America, 1992–2004: Controversies, ironies, new directions. *Annu. Rev. Anthropol.*, *34*, pp. 549-573.
- Jaffré, Y., & Olivier de Sardan, J.-P. (2003). Une médecine inhospitalière: Les difficiles relations entre soignants et soignés dans cinq capitales d'Afrique de l'Ouest. Karthala.
- Jaffré, Y. (2003). Anthropologie et hygiène hospitalière. Dans D., Bonnet, & Y., Jaffré (Eds.) (2003). Les maladies de passage: Transmissions, préventions et hygiènes en Afrique de l'Ouest. Karthala, pp. 341-373.
- Henare, A., Holbraad, M., & Wastell, S. (2006). *Thinking through things: theorising artefacts ethnographically*. Routledge.
- Ingold, T. (1996). Key debates in anthropology. Routledge.
- Ingold, T. (2006). Rethinking the animate, re-animating thought. Ethnos, 71(1), 9-20.
- Laplante, J. (2014). Médecine autochtone sud-africaine (muti) et innovation biopharmaceutique : connaître l'umhlonyane. *Anthropologica*, pp. 151-162.
- Latour, B., & Woolgar S. (1979). Laboratory Life: the Construction of Scientific Facts, chap. 4., "The Microprocessing of Facts". *Princeton Press*.
- Latour, B. (1991). Nous n'avons jamais été modernes : essai d'anthropologie symétrique. La Découverte.
- Le Marcis, F., (2003). Prévention et contagion des maladies animales en milieu peul. In D., Bonnet, & Y., Jaffré (dirs) (2003). *Les maladies de passage : Transmissions, préventions et hygiènes en Afrique de l'Ouest*. Karthala, pp. 311-338.

Le Marcis, F. (2021). наука и жизнь (Science and life.) Russian-Guinean scientific cooperation looking for viruses in the sub-prefecture of Madina Oula (1980–1990). *Communication*], *Apr*, *29*.

- Le Marcis, F. (2022). Se préparer aux épidémies ? Généalogie de la preparedness et chorégraphies ontologiques de la surveillance. À propos de Andrew Lakoff, Unprepared. Global Health in a Time of Emergency (2017). *University of California Press*.
- Malinowski, B. (1935). An ethnographic theory of the magical word. (Vol. 2). American Book Company.
- Mbaye , M. E., Kone, O., E., Ka, S., & Mboup, S. (2017). Évolution de l'implication des communautés dans la riposte à Ebola. *Santé publique*, 29(4), 487-496.
- Mbiziantouari, H. (2021). Penser la philosophie africaine du muntu : de l'onto-temporalité à la conscience historique : herméneutique d'une anthropologie du transcendant. *Penser la philosophie africaine du muntu*, pp. 1-303.
- Meillassoux, C. (1975). Femmes, greniers et capitaux. Maspero.
- Mol, A. (2002). *The body multiple: Ontology in medical practice*. Duke University Press.
- Nef, F., & Schmitt, Y. (Eds.) (2017). Ontologie. Identité, structure et métaontologie. Vrin.
- Olivier de Sardan, J. P. (1995). Anthropologie et développement : essai en socio-anthropologie du changement social. *APAD ; Karthala*.
- Olivier de Sardan, J. P. (2001). La sage-femme et le douanier. Cultures professionnelles locales et culture bureaucratique privatisée en Afrique de l'Ouest. *Autrepart*, (4), pp. 61-73.
- Olivier de Sardan, J. P. (2010). Développement, modes de gouvernance et normes pratiques (une approche socio-anthropologique). *Canadian Journal of Development Studies/Revue canadienne d'études du développement*, 31(1-2), 5-20.
- Olivier de Sardan, J. P. (2021). La Revanche des contextes : Des mésaventures de l'ingénierie sociale en Afrique et audelà. Karthala.
- Packard, R. M. (2016). A history of global health: interventions into the lives of other peoples. *Johns Hopkins University Press*.
- Person, Y. (1971). L'Anthropologie et l'histoire africaine. Canadian Journal of African Studies/La Revue canadienne des études africaines, 5(1), 1-17.
- Redford, K. H. (1990). The Ecologically Noble Savage. Orion Nature Quarterly, 9(3), 24-29.
- Rouch, J. (1947). Au pays des mages noirs. Centre national de la cinématographie.
- Somparé, A. W. (2017). La politique et les pratiques de santé en Guinée à l'épreuve de l'épidémie d'Ebola : le cas de la ville de Conakry. *Lien social et Politiques*, (78), pp. 193-210.
- Somparé, A. W. (2020). L'énigme d'Ebola en Guinée : une étude socio-anthropologique des réticences. *L'énigme d'Ebola en Guinée*, pp. 1-266.
- Thompson, C. (2005). Making parents: The ontological choreography of reproductive technologies. MIT press.
- Tylor, E. B. (1871). *Primitive culture: Researches into the development of mythology, philosophy, religion, art and custom* (Vol. 2). John Murray.
- Tantchou, J. (2021). Portrait d'hôpital, Cameroun. Karthala.
- Viveiros De Castro, E. (2007). The crystal forest: notes on the ontology of Amazonian spirits. *Inner Asia*, 9(2), 153-172.
- Viveiros De Castro, E. (2009). Métaphysiques cannibales: lignes d'anthropologie post-structurale. PUF.
- Wilkinson, A., & Fairhead, J. (2017). Comparison of social resistance to Ebola response in Sierra Leone and Guinea suggests explanations lie in political configurations not culture. *Critical Public Health*, 27(1), 14-27.
- Zempléni, A. (1985). La "maladie" et ses "causes". L'Ethnographie, 96-97(2-3), 13-44.